

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/681,151 10/09/2003 Steven W. Trovinger 200208917 1846

> 7590 10/01/2004

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY **Intellectual Property Administration** P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

**EXAMINER** MACKEY, PATRICK HEWEY

**ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

3651

**DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		U
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/681,151	TROVINGER, STEVEN W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patrick H. Mackey	3651
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 October 2003.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	ider <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-21 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 13,14 and 22 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	thdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	] accepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·	
<ul> <li>application-from-the-International-B</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ul>	•	received
See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies flot	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/681,151

Art Unit: 3651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-12 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weller. Weller discloses a sheet folding and accumulation device that includes a folding mechanism (see col. 4, line 56); a saddle (90); and an inverting mechanism (40).
- 3. Claims 1-12 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muller (US 3,897,051). Muller discloses discloses a sheet folding and accumulation device that includes a folding mechanism (26); a saddle (30); and an inverting mechanism (28).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mebus in view of Muller. Mebus discloses a method of accumulating sheets that includes folding a sheet with the peak of the fold pointing upward (50); inverting the sheet (14); and forming a stack on a saddle (22). Mebus discloses all the limitations of the claims, but it does not disclose binding the stack. However, Muller discloses binding a stack of sheets for the purpose of

Art Unit: 3651

forming a booklet. It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Mebus by utilizing a binder for the purpose of forming a booklet.

Page 3

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 13, 14, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick H. Mackey whose telephone number is (703) 308-0630. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-2560. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

ڼ

Art Unit: 3651

V

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick H. Mackey Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651

September 27, 2004